



RECEIVED
JUN 15 2004
GROUP 3600

Summary of the Response to Office Action

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Species I., figures 1-5 in Paper No. 9 is acknowledge.

Claims 6 and 7 have been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowability generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 9.

Drawings

2. The proposed drawing corrections filed on Aug. 20, 2003 have been received. The proposed drawing correction for figures 1-4, 8a, and 8b have been approved. In figures 5,6,7,9, and 10, the applicant failed to show any proposed (i.e. darkened flaps 13) represent. Do the dark areas represent the adhesive? The drawings fail to show numerical "63-1" as defined in the specification, page 7, last paragraph and page 8, first paragraph. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance. Changes in figures 5,6,7,9 and 10 are explained in amendment section.

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because on line 3 the applicant should not refer to figure 1 to define the shape. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

The shape of the card preparing material is amended as 'Firstly, a paper is cut into a shape of a long rectangle which is divided into three equal sections and

one of which, the first section, has a window at the center therefore and three trapezoidal wings are formed along the three edges thereof, to one end of the short side of the rectangle, opposite to the first section, is connected a distorted trapezoid along the bottom side of which one trapezoid wing is developed.

The proposed changes to the specification, page 4, have not been entered since they are improper format. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: page 4, line 9, "star." should apparently be "star," Page 4, line 15, "invitatory" should apparently be "invitational". Appropriate correction is required.

Those misprints are properly amended in the following 'Amendment section'.

The amendment filed 9/30/03 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: stating on page 11 of the amendment (page 6 paragraph 1 of the specification) "trapezoid form, whose maximum height is half of that of the rectangular form". Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

The new matter "trapezoid form, whose maximum height is half of that of the rectangular form" is canceled.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 5 is objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 5, line 1, "with;" should apparently be "with:"

In claim 5, line 3; "the first portion" should be "a first portion".

In claim 5, line 4, "the center" should be an "a center".

In claim 5, line 8, "form" should be "forms".

In claim 5, line 8, "the third portion" should be "a third portion".

In claim 5, line 8, "rectangular form" should be "the rectangular forms".

In claim 5, line 10, "color" should be "colors".